Enhancement of Lithium Ion Mobility in Ionic Liquid Electrolytes in Presence of Additives
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ABSTRACT: Ionic liquids are widely considered as potential electrolytes for lithium batteries due to their tunable electrochemical properties. In the present study, the mobility and transport characteristics of lithium ions in N-methyl-N-propylpyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (mppy+TFSI−) ionic liquids were characterized using the molecular dynamics method. Results from the simulations indicate that inclusion of organic additives such as ethylene carbonate, vinylene carbonate, and tetrahydrofuran decreases the extent of coordination of the lithium ion with the anion of the ionic liquid and hence increases its mobility and overall ionic conductivity. The mobility of lithium ions in the ionic liquid based electrolyte increases with increasing concentration of the additive. Of the additives investigated, ethylene carbonate was identified as the most effective in increasing the mobility of lithium ions, while vinylene carbonate increases the overall ionic conductivity to the greatest extent.

1. INTRODUCTION

Among the myriad energy-storage technologies that are currently used, rechargeable lithium ion batteries are widely used as energy sources for a range of portable electronic devices because of their relatively high specific energy storage capabilities.1 However, the highest energy storage capacity achieved by state-of-the-art lithium ion battery is too low to meet current demands in larger applications such as in the automotive industry.2 The limitation is due, in part, to the limited ionic conductivity of currently used organic electrolytes coupled with their volatility and electrochemical instability.3 Commercial lithium ion batteries use organic solvents, such as ethylene carbonate or diethyl carbonate, with noncoordinating anion salts such as lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (Li’TFSI−) and hexafluorophosphate (LiPF6).4 The relatively high vapor pressure of these electrolytes makes them flammable, which raises safety concerns.5 The development of efficient batteries therefore requires identification of improved electrolytes without compromising on safety standards.

Ionic liquids, which are liquid salts at room temperature, are being currently investigated as potential electrolytes due to their favorable properties such as low volatility as well as high thermal and chemical stability.6 Unlike conventional electrolytes, ionic liquids are nonhazardous, have low vapor pressures, and are nonflammable, which make them suitable candidates for use in lithium ion batteries. For instance, N-methyl-N-propylpyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (mppy+TFSI−) ionic liquid is known to have a wide electrochemical window.7 The mppy+TFSI− ionic liquid doped with lithium salt has been reported to allow lithium to be recycled with a high degree of reversibility at moderate current densities. However, a major disadvantage of this ionic liquid is that the TFSI− ion produces significant charge localization, which results in strong coordination of Li+ and TFSI− ions.8 The anion coordinates with Li+, which tends to form a segregation of negatively charged clusters in the isotropic and homogeneous liquid.9 The heterogeneity is explained by the short-range interactions of the tail groups of mppy+ cations and the long-range Coulombic forces between the head groups of cations and anions.10 Alkyl groups in mppy+ cations also increase the heterogeneity due to the van der Waals interactions between the alkyl chains. The negatively charged clusters reduce the mobility of lithium ions within the system and therefore reduce the ionic conductivity of the lithium-doped mppy+TFSI− ionic liquid electrolyte. In an effort to improve the transport properties in these materials, various scientific efforts have been directed to design low-viscosity ions with enhanced ionic conductivity.11 However, synthesizing new ionic liquids with low viscosity is a complex process,12 and an immediate solution would be to introduce small amounts of molecular additives. In particular, organic additives have the ability to improve the electrolyte by enhancing the transport properties of ions as well as by improving the solid electrolyte interphase.13 Several studies have investigated the addition of organic solvents in ionic liquids14 to improve their properties, such as an increase in the efficiency of lithium plating and stripping15 while maintaining the nonflammability characteristics of ionic liquids.16
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Recently, Raman spectroscopy was used to show that in the presence of additives such as ethylene carbonate or vinylene carbonate the extent of coordination of Li\(^+\) with the anion of the ionic liquid is diminished.\(^8\) While the transport properties of ions in neat ionic liquids are well understood and have been characterized by various experimental as well as theoretical and molecular modeling studies in recent years,\(^{17-23}\) the mobility of Li\(^+\) ions in ionic liquids doped with additives has not been investigated. Molecular dynamics simulations, which directly account for interatomic interactions, are well suited to evaluate the mobility of ions within an ionic liquid doped with additives and to relate the ionic conductivity to the molecular structure of the additives and ions.\(^7\)

In this paper, we report results from molecular dynamics simulations to understand the effects of additives on the mobility of Li\(^+\). We have simulated mppy\(^+\)TFSI\(^-\) ionic liquid doped with Li\(^+\)TFSI\(^-\) as a model system. The additives used in our study were ethylene carbonate, vinylene carbonate, and tetrahydrofuran at various concentrations (0.069, 0.13, and 0.2 mole fraction) and at different temperatures. Figure 1 illustrates all the ions and the additives that were simulated. We evaluated self-diffusion coefficients of Li\(^+\) ions in ionic liquid in the presence of additives. In an effort to relate ion mobility to various interatomic interactions, the relative coordination of Li\(^+\) was evaluated by obtaining radial distribution functions (RDFs) of Li\(^+\) with respect to the anion of the ionic liquid and the additives. The corresponding coordination numbers between Li\(^+\) and the electronegative atoms of TFSI\(^-\) (nitrogen, oxygen, and fluorine) as well as the additives (oxygen) were also obtained. In an effort to relate the mobility of Li\(^+\) to the performance of the electrolyte, we also evaluated the ionic conductivity of specific ionic liquid and additive systems in which the mobility of Li\(^+\) is significant. A hypothesis is presented to correlate the ionic conductivity to the diffusivity of the individual components in the simulated systems. Results presented in the study provide fundamental insight into the mobility of Li\(^+\) in ionic liquids in the presence of additives and can be used to identify electrolytes for lithium ion batteries.

**2. COMPUTATIONAL METHOD**

We employed molecular dynamics simulations to simulate systems comprising mppy\(^+\)TFSI\(^-\) ionic liquid doped with Li\(^+\)TFSI\(^-\) salt with or without additives. \textit{Ab initio} charge calculations were performed on a cation/anion pair with the second-order Moller–Plesset (MP2) perturbation theory using the 6-31G(d) basis set\(^{24-31}\) to obtain the partial atomic charges. Table 1 provides a list of partial charges for the electronegative atoms of mppy\(^+\), TFSI\(^-\), ethylene carbonate, vinylene carbonate, and tetrahydrofuran.

![Figure 1. Molecular structures of (a) mppy\(^+\), (b) TFSI\(^-\), (c) Li\(^+\), (d) ethylene carbonate, (e) tetrahydrofuran, and (f) vinylene carbonate are shown. The corresponding atoms are denoted as cyan = carbon, red = oxygen, blue = nitrogen, gray = hydrogen, ice blue = fluorine, yellow = sulfur, and pink = lithium.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>atom</th>
<th>partial charge (in terms of electronic charge, e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N(mppy(^+))</td>
<td>0.4652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(TFSI(^-))</td>
<td>-0.8759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(TFSI(^-))</td>
<td>-0.6643/0.6551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;O&quot; EC</td>
<td>-0.6250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;O&quot; VC</td>
<td>-0.6128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;N&quot; EC</td>
<td>-0.5041/0.4802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;O&quot; VC</td>
<td>-0.3484/0.3354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;N&quot; THF</td>
<td>-0.5189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li(^+)</td>
<td>0.8974</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The atoms of mppy\(^+\), TFSI\(^-\), the additives, and Li\(^+\). We used the software LAMMPS\(^32\) for all classical molecular dynamics simulations. We employed the optimized potentials for liquid simulations (OPLS) force field\(^39\) to describe the atomic interactions. We validated our results by comparing calculated properties, such as density and diffusion coefficient for neat ionic liquid and ionic liquid doped with Li\(^+\)TFSI\(^-\), to experimental data. The relevant computational details and results are provided as Supporting Information. For the doped solution (IL with Li\(^+\)TFSI\(^-\)), which served as a benchmark for obtaining enhancement in diffusion coefficients and ionic mobility, we employed molecular dynamics simulations to simulate systems comprising mppy\(^+\)TFSI\(^-\) ionic liquid doped with Li\(^+\)TFSI\(^-\) salt with or without additives. \textit{Ab initio} charge calculations were performed on a cation/anion pair with the second-order Moller–Plesset (MP2) perturbation theory using the 6-31G(d) basis set\(^{24-31}\) to obtain the partial atomic charges. Table 1 provides a list of partial charges for the electronegative atoms of mppy\(^+\), TFSI\(^-\), ethylene carbonate, vinylene carbonate, and tetrahydrofuran.
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conductivities for systems with additives, a mixture of 0.25 mole fraction Li’TFSI’ with 0.75 mole fraction mppy’TFSI’ was simulated. Systems with the additives comprised of ethylene carbonate, vinylene carbonate, and tetrahydrofuran with mole fractions of 0.069, 0.13, and 0.2 added to the doped ionic liquid system. Greater mole fractions will increase the vapor pressure of the mixed systems and were hence not considered in the present study.

An NPT ensemble, where the number of particles (N), pressure (P), and temperature (T) are fixed, was used to equilibrate each system at atmospheric pressure and temperatures of 303, 323, 343, 363, and 383 K. A Nosé-Hoover barostat54-57 and thermostat58-62 were utilized to control the temperature and pressure. Production runs of NVT ensembles, where the volume (V) is fixed, were carried out for at least 80 ns at constant temperatures. The equations of motion were integrated with a time step of 1 fs (fs). The cutoff distances for van der Waals and Coulombic interactions were 1.5 nm. Long-range electrostatic interactions were computed using the particle-particle-particle mesh (PPPM) method.43-51

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In an effort to evaluate the effect of additives on the mobility of Li+ ions in ionic liquids, we calculated the diffusion coefficients of Li+ in ionic liquid based electrolytes, comprising neat ionic liquid doped with Li’TFSI’ salt, with additives ethylene carbonate, vinylene carbonate, and tetrahydrofuran, at concentrations of 0.069, 0.13, and 0.2 by mole fraction. For the sake of convenience, we designate systems with varying concentrations of additives with abbreviated nomenclature. For instance, systems with additive mole fraction of 0.069 are denoted as EC1/VC1/THF1, mole fraction of 0.13 as EC2/VC2/THF2, and mole fraction of 0.2 as EC3/VC3/THF3. Ionic liquid with Li’TFSI’ without any additive is designated as neat IL electrolyte. As a reference, the diffusion coefficient of Li+ was also calculated in the neat IL electrolyte without additives.

The self-diffusion coefficients, D, were calculated from mean-squared displacements based on Einstein’s relation, which is given by52,53

\[ D = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{6Nt} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[ r_j(t) - r_j(0) \right]^2 \]  

(1)

where ( ) designates ensemble average, t is the time interval, N is the number of ions, and \( r_j(t) \) denotes position of ionic species j at time t. Linear regression analysis was used to obtain the slope from the mean-squared displacements at various time intervals. Relevant error bars were calculated and are supplied in the plots. The plots in Figure 2 show values of diffusion coefficients obtained vs 1000/K, with T being the temperature ranging between 303 and 383 K in increments of 20 K. The results for systems comprising ethylene carbonate, vinylene carbonate, and tetrahydrofuran additives are shown in Figures 2a, 2b, and 2c, respectively.

It is observed from Figure 2 that, in general, the diffusion coefficient of Li+ increases with the inclusion of additives. Also, from Figure 2, we notice an increase in the diffusion coefficient of Li+ with increase in concentration of additives. The average increase in diffusion coefficient for different additives and concentrations, compared to neat IL electrolyte, is given in Table 2. As seen in Table 2, EC1/EC2/EC3 enhances the diffusion coefficient on an average by 18.7%/120.95%/248.95% over the range of 303–383 K, as compared to 51.95%/87.65%/100.62% for VC1/VC2/VC3 and 37.41%/86.87%/97.66% for THF1/THF2/THF3, respectively. The underlying cause for the reduced mobility of Li+ in neat IL electrolyte is the coordination of Li+ with IL anions to form charged clusters. Therefore, the enhancement in diffusion coefficient in the presence of additives can be understood by studying the nature of association of Li+ with other ions/atoms in the system. To quantify such association and the resulting increase in diffusion coefficient, we obtained the RDF of Li+ with respect to the electronegative TFSI’ anions, as shown in Figure 1b, and various atoms of the additives. The RDF, \( g_{ab}(r) \), between two atoms a and b, is given by52,53

\[ g_{ab}(r) = \frac{dn_{ab}(r)}{4\pi r^2 dr} \]  

(2)

Table 2. Percentage Increase in Diffusion Coefficient of Li+ for All the Systems with Additives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>additive concn (mole fraction)</th>
<th>ethylene carbonate (%)</th>
<th>vinylene carbonate (%)</th>
<th>tetrahydrofuran (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>18.75</td>
<td>51.95</td>
<td>37.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>120.95</td>
<td>87.65</td>
<td>86.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>248.95</td>
<td>100.62</td>
<td>97.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Self-diffusion coefficient of lithium ion, \( D_{Li+} \), as a function of 1000/T (K-1) is evaluated for the neat IL electrolyte system and compared with (a) \( D_{Li+} \) for ethylene carbonate, (b) \( D_{Li+} \) for vinylene carbonate, and (c) \( D_{Li+} \) for tetrahydrofuran.
where \( n_a(r) \) is the average number of \( a \) atoms within a spherical shell of radius \( r \) and thickness \( dr \) enclosing an \( a \) atom placed at \( r = 0 \), and \( \rho_a \) is the number density of atom \( a \) in the simulated ionic liquid system. The TFSI\(^-\) ion, as shown in Figure 1b, is comprised of nitrogen, oxygen, sulfur, and fluorine, with nitrogen being negatively charged. The electronegativity of these atoms is indicated by their partial charges shown in Table 1. The oxygen atom of TFSI\(^-\), designated as O(TFSI\(^-\)), has a partial negative charge of \(-0.6551\epsilon\), which is comparable with the electronegative oxygen of the additives. However, the O(TFSI\(^-\)) are surrounded by electropositive sulfur (+1.317e) which makes it sterically difficult for the Li\(^+\) ions to coordinate with the O(TFSI\(^-\)). The nitrogen of mppy\(^+\) also has a partial positive charge (+0.4652), which will repel the Li\(^+\). Thus, Li\(^+\) would have a natural tendency to coordinate with the nitrogen of TFSI\(^-\) \([N(TFSI^-)]\), which is the most electronegative atom in TFSI\(^-\). Therefore, in our analysis, we obtained RDFs for Li\(^+\) with respect to N(TFSI\(^-\)) for neat IL electrolyte, EC1, EC2, and EC3 at a reference temperature of 323 K, as shown in Figure 3a. From the figure, we notice that the observed peak of \( g(r) \) at 2 Å for neat IL electrolyte (\( \sim 30\)) is greater than EC1 (\( \sim 20\)), EC2 (\( \sim 19\)), and EC3 (\( \sim 18\)), suggesting that the probability of finding a N(TFSI\(^-\)) surrounding a Li\(^+\) in neat IL electrolyte is higher than that in IL with additives. To further quantify our result, we obtained the coordination number of Li\(^+\) surrounded by N(TFSI\(^-\)). The coordination number \( n(r) \) between two atoms is obtained by integrating the weighted RDF between atoms and is given by \( n(r) = 4\pi\rho \int_0^r x^2 g_{ab}(x) \, dx \) (3)

As seen in Figure 3b, the coordination number for Li\(^+\) and N(TFSI\(^-\)) at 3.5 Å is greater for neat IL electrolyte (\( \sim 1.1\)) followed by EC1 (\( \sim 0.9\)), EC2 (\( \sim 0.8\)), and EC3 (\( \sim 0.6\)). This trend suggests that fewer N(TFSI\(^-\)) are coordinated with Li\(^+\) for EC3, followed by EC2, EC1, and neat IL electrolyte. These results are directly correlated with the Li\(^+\) diffusion coefficients. The diffusion coefficients for neat IL electrolyte, EC1, EC2, and EC3 at 323 K were found to be \( 6.98 \times 10^{-7}\), \( 7.64 \times 10^{-7}\), \( 8.29 \times 10^{-7}\), and \( 1.27 \times 10^{-6}\) cm\(^2\)/s, respectively.

Similarly, we also determined the coordination number of Li\(^+\) and N(TFSI\(^-\)) and diffusion coefficient for vinylene carbonate and tetrahydrofuran, at the same reference temperature of 323 K. For vinylene carbonate, the coordination number between Li\(^+\) and N(TFSI\(^-\)) at 3.5 Å was found to be \( \sim 1.2\) for VC1, \( \sim 1.0\) for VC2, and \( \sim 0.6\) for VC3, as shown in Table 3. The corresponding diffusion coefficients obtained were \( 8.11 \times 10^{-8}\) cm\(^2\)/s for VC1, \( 8.78 \times 10^{-8}\) cm\(^2\)/s for VC2, and \( 1.03 \times 10^{-7}\) cm\(^2\)/s for VC3. A similar trend was also observed in the case of IL with tetrahydrofuran. We found that the coordination between Li\(^+\) and N(TFSI\(^-\)) was \( \sim 1.4\) for THF1, \( \sim 1.1\) for THF2, and \( \sim 0.9\) for THF3, and the diffusion coefficients obtained were \( 6.37 \times 10^{-8}\) cm\(^2\)/s for THF1, \( 8.04 \times 10^{-8}\) cm\(^2\)/s for THF2, and \( 9.61 \times 10^{-8}\) cm\(^2\)/s for THF3. We observed that for VC1 and THF1 there is a slight increase in the coordination between Li\(^+\) and N(TFSI\(^-\)), compared to neat IL electrolyte, which decreases at higher concentrations of additives. In order to analyze this trend, we calculated the coordination number of Li\(^+\) with respect to the oxygen atom of TFSI\(^-\) \([O(TFSI^-)]\) for various systems. The oxygen atom was chosen because it is the second most electronegative atom in TFSI\(^-\), as shown in Table 1. Our results indicate that the coordination between Li\(^+\) and O(TFSI\(^-\)) decreases from \( \sim 4\) in neat IL electrolyte to \( \sim 2.5\) in VC1. In the case of THF1, Li\(^+\)-O(TFSI\(^-\)) coordination remains almost the same as that for neat IL electrolyte for shorter length scales up to 3.5 Å. However, beyond 4 Å, the coordination number decreases from \( \sim 7\) in neat IL electrolyte to \( \sim 6\) in THF1. The relatively feeble effect of tetrahydrofuran in reducing the coordination between Li\(^+\) and O(TFSI\(^-\)) at low concentrations (THF1) is due to the significantly lower partial charge of oxygen of tetrahydrofuran compared to that of vinylene carbonate, as seen in Table 1. From this analysis, it can be concluded that while vinylene carbonate and tetrahydrofuran are not effective in reducing coordination of Li\(^+\) with N(TFSI\(^-\)) at low concentrations, they do help in reducing the overall coordination between Li\(^+\) and the entire TFSI\(^-\), particularly with the oxygen atom. This reduced coordination results in increase in values of diffusion coefficients. Additionally, since vinylene carbonate is more effective in reducing coordination with O(TFSI\(^-\)) at low concentrations, VC1 is a better additive system than THF1. To understand this trend in further details, it is important to
determine how the concentration of additive affects the interaction of Li\(^+\) with the additives inside the system.

In an effort to determine the association of Li\(^+\) with the additive, we first need to identify the most electronegative atoms of the additive. As seen previously for TFSI\(^-\), the partial charges of the atoms of the additive help us to identify the most electronegative atom. From Table 1, it can be seen that for tetrahydrofuran the single oxygen atom present in the ring is the most electronegative atom [denoted as O(\(\sim\)THF)]\(^-\), with a partial charge of \(-0.5189\)e. But for ethylene and vinylene carbonate, there are three oxygen atoms present in the ion. The most electronegative atom is the double-bonded oxygen, with a partial charge of \(-0.6250e\) and \(-0.6128e\) for ethylene and vinylene carbonate, respectively [denoted as O(\(\sim\)EC) and O(\(\sim\)VC)]. We therefore evaluated the RDF between Li\(^+\) and the double-bonded O atom of ethylene carbonate additive for all concentrations as a reference case. Figure 4 shows the partial charges of the double- and single-bonded oxygen in vinylene carbonate (shown in Figure 1d), the double-bonded oxygen has a partial charge of \(-0.6250e\) whereas the single-bonded oxygen has a partial charge of \(-0.5189\)e. The high electronegativity of these oxygen atoms helps reducing the coordination number for Li\(^+\) with the most electronegative atom of the additive that were identified earlier from Table 1. From Figure 5b, we find that Li\(^+\) preferentially coordinates with the double-bonded oxygen of ethylene carbonate and vinylene carbonate and the single-bonded oxygen of tetrahydrofuran, which helps in reducing the coordination number for Li\(^+\) with N(TFSI\(^-\)) coordination. The low coordination number for Li\(^+\) and single-bonded oxygen of THF3 [O(\(\sim\)THF)] \((-0.1)\) as compared to Li\(^+\) and O(\(\sim\)VC) \((-0.7)\) in VC3 and Li\(^+\) and O(\(\sim\)EC) \((-0.8)\) in EC3 correlates with the low diffusion coefficient for IL with THF3 compared to IL with VC3 and IL with EC3.

To determine the comparative effect of different additives on the mobility of Li\(^+\), we analyzed respective molecular structures (shown in Figure 1) and partial charges of the additive atoms, provided in Table 1, that coordinate with Li\(^+\). For ethylene carbonate (shown in Figure 1d), the double-bonded oxygen has a partial charge of \(-0.62507e\) whereas the single-bonded oxygen has a partial charge of \(-0.54011e\). The high electronegativity of these oxygen atoms helps reducing the coordination number for Li\(^+\) with N(TFSI\(^-\)). Similar oxygen atoms are also present in the molecular structure of vinylene carbonate (shown in Figure 1e). However, the partial charges of the double- and single-bonded oxygen in vinylene carbonate (\(-0.6128e\) and \(-0.3484\)) are slightly lower than those of ethylene carbonate. The presence of the two extra hydrogen atoms attached to the carbon atoms in the ring in case of ethylene carbonate induce a negative charge on the surrounding single-bonded oxygen atoms. However, the partial negative charge on the single-bonded oxygen atoms of vinylene carbonate is lower because of two fewer hydrogen atoms on the carbon atoms, and the electronegative carbon atoms attached by a double bond. Lower electronegativity of oxygen atoms in vinylene carbonate than ethylene carbonate causes a stronger Li\(^+\) N(TFSI\(^-\)) coordination for IL with vinylene.
carbonate as compared to ethylene carbonate. As shown in Figure 1f, tetrahydrofuran has only one single-bonded oxygen atom. However, the partial charge for this oxygen ($-0.5189$), provided in Table 2, is greater in magnitude compared to single-bonded oxygen of vinylene carbonate ($-0.3484$) but comparable with the single-bonded oxygen of ethylene carbonate ($-0.5041$). While this single oxygen atom, due to its high electronegativity, is responsible for reducing the coordination between Li$^+$ and N(TFSI$^-$), it is less effective than that of ethylene carbonate or vinylene carbonate.

To better understand the observed effect of temperature on self-diffusion coefficients, as shown in Figure 2, we calculated the RDF between Li$^+$ and N(TFSI$^-$) for a reference case of EC3 at two separate temperatures of 303 and 383 K (Figure 5). As seen in Figure 6a, the first peak of $g(r)$ at 2 Å for EC3 at 303 K ($\sim 20$) is higher than that at 383 K ($\sim 12.5$). Further, on the basis of coordination numbers presented in Figure 6b, we conclude that fewer N(TFSI$^-$) ions are coordinated with Li$^+$ at 383 K ($\sim 0.5$) than at 303 K ($\sim 0.75$) at distances corresponding to the first peak in radial distribution function. The coordination number, however, is greater at 383 K as compared to 303 K, at a distance of 4.5 Å corresponding to the second peak in radial distribution function. Greater coordination between Li$^+$ and N(TFSI$^-$) for longer distances, at higher temperatures, can be attributed to the structural relaxation of the Li$^+$TFSI$^-$ cluster at higher temperatures. For an identical system, N(TFSI$^-$) moves further away from the Li$^+$, causing a reduction of coordination at shorter distances and a corresponding increase at larger distances. In addition to the formation of a less compact cluster with TFSI$^-$ anion, the diffusion coefficient of Li$^+$ increases at greater temperature. At 303 K for EC3 the diffusion coefficient of Li$^+$ is $1.15 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s, whereas at 383 K, it is $3.7 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s. This increase in diffusion coefficient with increase in temperature, which is widely known, can be explained by the fact that at higher temperatures Li$^+$ ions possess greater average kinetic energy, which enhances the mobility of Li$^+$ ions in the system. This results in diminished coordination between Li$^+$ and N(TFSI$^-$) at higher temperature compared to that at low temperatures, which increases the diffusion coefficients, as seen in Figure 2.

In an effort to compare the efficacy of various additives in enhancing the overall performance of the electrolyte systems, we calculated the ionic conductivity, $\lambda$, in systems with IL and additive by analyzing the molecular trajectory based on the relation:

$$
\lambda = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\lambda(t)}{t} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{e^2}{6\pi V_k T} \sum_N \int \langle [r_i(t) - r_j(0)][r_i(t) - r_j(0)] \rangle
$$

(4)

where $N$ is the number of types of ionic species in the system, $e$ is the electronic charge, $V$ is the volume of the simulation box, $z_i$ and $z_j$ are the charges on ions of type $i$ and $j$, and $r_i$ is the displacement of ion $i$ at time $t$. To compare the overall ionic conductivity of systems with different additives, we selected a reference concentration of 0.2 by mole fraction for each additive (EC3, VC3, and THF3) at 323 K and calculated the ionic conductivity for each of the three systems. As a reference for comparison of the effectiveness of additives, we calculated the ionic conductivity of neat IL electrolyte at 323 K.

The calculated value of overall ionic conductivity is the highest for VC3 ($3.67 \times 10^{-3}$ S/cm), followed by EC3 ($3.31 \times 10^{-3}$ S/cm) and THF3 ($3.15 \times 10^{-3}$ S/cm). For neat IL electrolyte, the overall ionic conductivity is $2.61 \times 10^{-3}$ S/cm. We saw an increase of 40.61% in the overall ionic conductivity for VC3, 26.81% for EC3, and 20.69% for THF3 compared to neat IL electrolyte. Therefore, at the highest concentrations of additives that were simulated, vinylene carbonate was the most effective additive in enhancing the overall ionic conductivity of the electrolyte while tetrahydrofuran was the least effective. It is interesting to note that while the diffusion coefficient of Li$^+$ in EC3 is greater than that in VC3, the latter system has a greater overall ionic conductivity. To further explain this trend, we analyzed the correlation between the overall ionic conductivity and the diffusion coefficients of the individual ions in the simulated systems, namely Li$^+$, mppy$^+$, and TFSI$^-$. As seen from eq 4, the overall ionic conductivity depends on the correlated displacements of all the charged species in the system (Li$^+$, mppy$^+$, TFSI$^-$), whereas the diffusion coefficient of Li$^+$, as calculated from eq 1, depends on the displacements of solely Li$^+$ ions. The degree of uncorrelated ion motion ($\alpha$), defined as the ratio of the total ionic conductivity ($\lambda$) to the ionic conductivity due to self-diffusion only ($\lambda_{\text{uncorr}}$), is a widely defined parameter for evaluating the contribution of correlated ion motion to the ionic conductivity. Mathematically, $\alpha$ is defined as

$$
\alpha = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{\text{uncorr}}}
$$

(5)

where $\lambda_{\text{uncorr}}$ is given by

---

**Figure 6.** (a) Radial distribution function of Li$^+$ with respect to N(TFSI$^-$) in EC3 at 303 and 383 K and (b) corresponding coordination number of Li$^+$ and N(TFSI$^-$) in EC3 evaluated at 303 and 383 K.
\[ \lambda_{uncorr} = \frac{e^2}{6\pi k_B T} \left( n_{Li^+}^D_{Li^+} + n_{mppy}^D_{mppy} + n_{TFSI}^D_{TFSI} \right) \]
\[ = \frac{e^2}{6\pi k_B T} \sum_i Z_i^2 \langle [\tau_i(t) - \tau_i(0)]^2 \rangle \]

Here, \( n_i \) denotes the number of ions of type \( i \). For \( \alpha = 1 \), the motion of the ions is said to be completely uncorrelated and \( 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \) implies motion due to correlated motion of ions in the system. Equation 6 demonstrates that the ionic conductivity due to uncorrelated motion depends directly on the diffusion coefficients of the charged species in the system. The partial ionic conductivity of \( Li^+ \) in a system is defined as a function of the overall ionic conductivity by the equation

\[ \lambda_{Li^+} = \frac{n_{Li^+} D_{Li^+}}{n_{Li^+} D_{Li^+} + n_{mppy} D_{mppy} + n_{TFSI} D_{TFSI}} \lambda \]

Equation 7, however, is valid only for systems with predominantly uncorrelated motion of ions, i.e., in systems where \( \alpha \geq 0.5 \). Also, the partial ionic conductivity of \( Li^+ \) calculated from eq 7 is approximate, and cannot be considered as the exact value, unless the motion of ions is almost completely uncorrelated, i.e. \( \alpha \sim 1 \), which is possible only in dilute solutions. To determine the degree of uncorrelated motion in the simulated systems with additives and to compare the overall ionic conductivity for various systems, we evaluated the values of \( \alpha \) for EC3, VC3, and THF3 at 323 K. Values of \( \alpha \) provided in Table 4, were found to be 0.38 for EC3, 0.39 for VC3, and 0.43 for THF3. Since the concentration of \( Li^+ \) in the electrolyte is much lower than that of TFSI− anion, the greater \( \alpha \), and hence the greater diffusion coefficient of TFSI−, which is the primary component in the system in terms of concentration. Our calculations also show that the diffusion coefficient of mppy+ in case of EC3 (5.23 × 10−8 cm²/s) is comparable to the diffusion coefficient of mppy+ in VC3 (5.18 × 10−8 cm²/s). Since the concentration of \( Li^+ \) in the electrolyte is much lower than that of TFSI− anion, the greater diffusion coefficient of TFSI− in the case of VC3, as compared to EC3, leads to enhanced overall ionic conductivity of the former system due to uncorrelated motion. The degree of uncorrelated motion, \( \alpha \), being nearly identical in EC3 and VC3, the total ionic conductivity of VC3 is therefore greater than that of EC3. Overall, the results for degree of uncorrelated motion and diffusion coefficients of individual components indicate that while VC10 enhances overall ionic conductivity to the greatest extent, EC3 is the most effective electrolyte for lithium batteries based on the enhanced mobility of lithium ions.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Results from our molecular dynamics simulations show that the transport properties, such as self-diffusion coefficient of \( Li^+ \) ions and ionic conductivities of mppy“TFSI− based ionic liquids doped with lithium salt, are enhanced by the addition of neutral organic additives. The extent of increase in transport properties is greater for greater concentrations of the additives and at higher temperatures. We calculated RDFs and coordination numbers of \( Li^+ \) with respect to electronegative atomic sites in the ions and additives in an effort to relate the association of \( Li^+ \) with various chemical species to their resulting mobility. Our results demonstrate that the electrostatic interactions of \( Li^+ \) with various atoms of the anion and additives play an important role in enhancing the transport properties. The partial negative charges on the oxygen atoms of the simulated additives (ethylene carbonate, vinylene carbonate, and tetrahydrofuran) help in reducing the coordination of \( Li^+ \) ions with N(TFSI−) in mppy‘TFSI− IL and thus reduce the extent of cluster formation. The additives are more effective at relatively high concentrations and temperatures due to greater effectiveness in reducing such coordination. Of the additives simulated in the present study, ethylene carbonate, due to its highly electronegative oxygen, is the most effective in reducing the \( Li^+−N(TFSI−) \) coordination and hence in improving the transport properties of \( Li^+ \). At a concentration of 0.2 mole fraction, it enhances the diffusion coefficient of \( Li^+ \) by 248.95% when compared to that of neat IL electrolytes. However, the overall ionic conductivity, which depends on the correlated and uncorrelated motion of all ions in the system, is enhanced to a greater extent (up to 40.61%) in the presence of vinylene carbonate than by adding ethylene carbonate (up to 26.81%) to the neat IL electrolyte. The contribution of uncorrelated ion motion to the overall ionic conductivity in the simulated systems was determined based on evaluation of degree of uncorrelated motion. Our results indicate that the greater overall ionic conductivity of VC3 than that of EC3 is due to the greater diffusivity of TFSI− in the former system. Overall, we conclude that ILs doped with small amounts of organic additives are effective in improving the transport properties of \( Li^+ \) ions in ILs, which might facilitate their use as electrolytes of commercial \( Li^+ \) ion batteries. Of all systems that were simulated, ethylene carbonate added at a mole fraction of 0.2 enhances the mobility of lithium ions to the greatest extent and therefore is a better additive than vinylene carbonate and tetrahydrofuran.

Table 4. Values of the Degree of Uncorrelated Ion Motion, \( \alpha \), and Ionic Conductivity, \( \lambda \) (S/cm), for Different Systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>species</th>
<th>( \alpha )</th>
<th>( \lambda ) (S/cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>neat IL electrolyte</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>2.61 × 10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC3</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>3.31 × 10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC3</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>3.67 × 10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THF3</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>3.15 × 10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VC3, 0.43 for THF3, and 0.49 for neat IL electrolyte. The low value of \( \alpha \) for EC3 signifies that the correlation between ions in the system is greater as compared to VC3, THF3, and neat IL electrolyte. Note that we cannot compare our systems by comparing the ionic conductivity of \( Li^+ \) using eq 7 as the values obtained for \( \alpha \) are much less than 1. We can, however, compare the uncorrelated motion of ions in different systems. As mentioned earlier, the ionic conductivity due to uncorrelated motion depends on the diffusion coefficients of the ions, which, in our case, are \( D_{Li^+}^D \), \( D_{mppy}^D \), and \( D_{TFSI}^D \) as shown in eq 6. The calculated values of diffusion coefficient of TFSI− are 5.41 × 10⁻⁸ cm²/s for EC3, 6.72 × 10⁻⁸ cm²/s for VC3, 4.48 × 10⁻⁸ cm²/s for THF3, and 2.28 × 10⁻⁸ cm²/s for neat IL electrolyte. As observed earlier, the diffusion coefficient of \( Li^+ \) is greater for EC3 (1.27 × 10⁻⁷ cm²/s) than VC3 (1.03 × 10⁻⁷ cm²/s), but the same is not true for the diffusion coefficient of TFSI−, which is the primary component in the system in terms of concentration. Our calculations also show that the diffusion coefficient of mppy+ in case of EC3 (5.23 × 10⁻⁸ cm²/s) is comparable to the diffusion coefficient of mppy+ in VC3 (5.18 × 10⁻⁸ cm²/s). Since the concentration of \( Li^+ \) in the electrolyte is much lower than that of TFSI− anion, the greater diffusion coefficient of TFSI− in the case of VC3, as compared to EC3, leads to enhanced overall ionic conductivity of the former system due to uncorrelated motion. The degree of uncorrelated motion, \( \alpha \), being nearly identical in EC3 and VC3, the total ionic conductivity of VC3 is therefore greater than that of EC3. Overall, the results for degree of uncorrelated motion and diffusion coefficients of individual components indicate that while VC10 enhances overall ionic conductivity to the greatest extent, EC3 is the most effective electrolyte for lithium batteries based on the enhanced mobility of lithium ions.
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